

Community Choice Schools Commission Meeting Minutes

October 10 & 11, 2025 Extended Work Session

Call to Order - 10:02 a.m. 10/10/25

Chair Schreiber called the meeting to order at 10:02 a.m. The Chair led the Commission in the Pledge of Allegiance. Director of Planning Kincheloe took Roll Call. The Chair read the Statement of Public Participation and welcomed guests, Dr. Jim Goenner and Mary Bradely of the National Charter Schools Institute, meeting facilitators.

<u>Commission members present:</u> Trish Schreiber, Chair; Jon Rutt, Treasurer; Mark Hufstetler; Katey Franklin (arrived 10:16 a.m.); Chip Lindenlaub; Taylor Ramos; and Director of Planning Cathy Kincheloe.

Facilitators: Dr. Jim Goenner and Dr. Mary Bradely, National Charter Schools Institute.

Guests Present: none.

Approve Current Agenda for 10/10 - 10/11/25

Member Lindenlaub moved to approve the Agenda as presented. Motion seconded by Member Hufstetler.

No discussion. Motion passed unanimously.

Agenda Item 2 – Item 7 WORK SESSION:

Chair Schreiber noted this is a work session and no action would be taken. She then put the Commission at ease and turned the meeting over to Dr. Jim Goenner as lead facilitator.

Dr. Goenner led the Commission through a series of focused conversations on various topics as listed on the agenda. All members engaged in robust dialogue throughout the day focused on:

- Overview of Discussion: Strategic Advance
 - Dr. Goenner highlighted the purpose, goals & expectations for the Advance.
- Engagement Activity: Identifying our Whys
 - Why are you serving on the MCCSC?
 - Each member shared their personal journey to the Commission, ultimately culminating in the commonality that every member felt called to serve and compelled to do well by students, families, and communities across the state.
- Work Session: Review of Draft Materials of Core Values
 - The Commission took time to individually read and consider the Core Values draft.
 - Members participated in a deep discussion leading to refinement of the Core Values reflecting

the intent of the Law and the importance of acting in the best interest of students, families, educators, communities, and tribes. Intentional thought was given to ensuring the values translate to future Members by referencing the State Constitution and CCSA.

- Engagement Activity: Deep Dive
 - After a break, Commission members re-evaluated the work from the previous discussion to refine use of language in the Core Values draft.
 - The Commission will vote on the Core Values in the December meeting, giving everyone another opportunity to consider changes before taking action.
- Overview of Discussion: The Role of the Director, the Team Leader
 - Members engaged in future planning for the director's role by reviewing the current job description thinking towards the future when it may be possible for the Commission to authorize and oversee CCS.
 - Members contemplated whether a single person could do the job while also authorizing, and what additional human resources may be necessary, such as consultants.

Public Comment

No visitors were present for public comment.

The Commission recessed for the day at 4:27 p.m.

Call to Order - 8:37 a.m. 10/11/25

Chair Schreiber called the meeting to order at 8:37 a.m. The Chair led the Commission in the Pledge of Allegiance. Roll call was taken.

<u>Commission members present:</u> Trish Schreiber, Chair; Jon Rutt, Treasurer; Mark Hufstetler; Katey Franklin; Chip Lindenlaub; Taylor Ramos; and Director of Planning Cathy Kincheloe.

Facilitators: Dr. Jim Goenner and Dr. Mary Bradely, National Charter School Institute.

Guests Present: none.

Agenda Item 8 – Item 13 WORK SESSION:

Chair Schreiber put the Commission at ease and turned the meeting over to Jim Goenner as lead facilitator.

Dr. Goenner led the Commission through a series of focused conversations on various topics as listed on the agenda. All members engaged in robust dialogue throughout the day focused on:

- Overview of Discussion: Duties of Members
 - Chair Schreiber led Members in a review of the law, reminding everyone of the importance of their roles as detailed in their Oath of Office, the Constitution, and Title 20, Chapter 11. The Commission specifically reviewed duties detailed in 20-11-106 through 109, regarding appointments, duties as authorizers of schools and other authorizers. The members discussed the additional responsibilities of members as outlined in the Commission's own policies.
 - Standards of conduct during in-person meetings and Zoom meetings were also discussed. The whole commission offered comments on how members should conduct their participation in meetings.
 - The role of Commission Members discussed what it means to be "guardians of opportunity"

and "stewards of possibility."

- Engagement Activity: Name It
 - Members worked in small teams discussing how to gain trust and build credibility with key stakeholders. NCSI staff facilitated deeper considerations leading Members to consider how the values of stakeholders connect with the opportunity present with Community Choice Schools.
 - Members worked in small teams to craft talking points and elevator pitches with various types of stakeholders to bring a better understanding of CCS.
- Overview of Discussion: Looking Ahead from Vision to Implementation
 - Director of Planning Kincheloe led Members through the draft policies and procedures for launching the first application cycle. NCSI facilitators provided feedback to assist in refining procedures.
- Overview of Discussion
 - Member shared closing thoughts and future work

Public Comment

None.

The Commission adjourned at 12:10 p.m.