Community Choice Schools Commission Policymaking Subcommittee

December 5, 2024 Steering Committee Webinar 9:00 a.m. to 11:00 a.m.

Agenda Packet Contents:

- 1. Agenda
- 2. Community Choice Schools Commission Rating Rubric for Choice School Applications

Montana Community Choice Schools Commission Policy Development Subcommittee Steering Committee Meeting #3 Agenda

December 5, 2024: 9:00 a.m. to 11:00 a.m.

Steering Committee meetings are open to the public electronically on Youtube (<u>@montanaboardofpubliceducat5954</u>). For those wishing to give public comment, please contact <u>bpe@mt.gov</u> to request the zoom link for the meeting.

	Estimated Time	Details
Item 1	9:00 a.m.	 Welcome Review Purpose: The Steering Committee will provide strategic feedback to support the Commission's implementation of policies and documents that will enable high-quality Choice Schools and high-quality Authorizers to establish in the state of Montana.
Item 2	9:05 a.m.	Brief Introductions:
Item 3	9:20 a.m.	Scope of Work: Review and provide feedback on the Application, Contract, Performance Framework, and the Proposal Review and Approval Policy for Choice Schools (Meeting 1). Review and provide feedback on the Application, Contract, and the Proposal Review and Approval Policy for Authorizers (Meeting 2). Review and provide feedback on the Commission's Rating Rubric for Choice School Applications (Meeting 3).
Item 4	9:25 a.m.	Guiding Questions: ○ Is the document clear, concise, and easy to read? ○ Does any of the information in the document seem redundant or unnecessary? ○ Does the sequence of information within the document make sense? ○ What could be better? ○ Is anything missing? ○ What questions might an Applicant still have about the rating process? ○ Potential pitfalls? Discussions Points: ○ Open forum Q & A between the Steering Committee members to share experiences with authorizing, applying for authorization, and making difficult decisions under pressure. ■ Please come prepared with questions; no question is stupid! This is new for Montana, and this is an opportunity to help the Commission prepare. ■ Attending guests are welcome to join the discussion.
Item 5	10:45 a.m.	Reference Community Choice Schools Act: 20-11-101-126, MCA This time will be provided for public comment on items not listed on the agenda. For those wishing to give virtual public comment, please contact https://ppe@mt.gov to request the Zoom link for the meeting. Members of the public who have joined virtually on Zoom may "raise their hand" at the appropriate time to participate after being recognized. Written public comment may be submitted to the Executive Director of the BPE at https://ppe@mt.gov and will be shared with the Commission members and included as part of the official public record.
Adjourn	11:00 a.m.	and metaded as part of the official papies record.

Montana Community Choice Schools Commission Application Rubric

Per the Montana Community Choice Schools Commission (Commission)'s new community choice school (school) application process, this rubric will be used to evaluate each received application. Application approval will only be granted to applicants that have demonstrated competence in each element of the published approval criteria and are likely to open and operate a successful Community Choice School. Applicants should also demonstrate an understanding of the Commission's Performance Framework for Oversight and Evaluation.

Within each application section, please check the **Rating** that best describes the extent to which the Applicant met expectations for opening and operating a quality school.

- **Exceeds:** The response demonstrates a strong understanding of key issues and demonstrates capacity to open and operate a quality charter school. It goes above and beyond expectations with supporting evidence.
- **Meets:** The response demonstrates a thorough understanding of key issues and demonstrates capacity to open and operate a quality charter school. It addresses the topic with specific evidence that shows thorough preparation and presents a clear, realistic picture of how the school expects to operate.
- **Partially Meets:** The response meets some of the criteria but lacks meaningful detail or requires additional information in one or more key areas.
- **Does Not Meet:** The response is significantly incomplete; lacks meaningful detail; demonstrates lack of preparation; and/or otherwise raises substantial concerns about the applicant's understanding of and ability to implement an effective plan, including if the applicant does not respond to the required section.

The Overall Section Rating should be used to provide a holistic evaluation of the section, including overall clarity of the plan presented within the section.

Starting and operating a quality Community Choice School requires elements both tangible and intangible on the part of the Applicant and the proposed plan. *The purpose of this rubric is to aid the evaluators in having a rigorous discussion about the application and providing feedback to the Applicant.* The Commission reserves the right to approve or deny applications, regardless of an Application's raw score.

Rating Scales

A.	Executive Summary	3
B.	Board Governance and School Leadership	4
C.	Academic Program and Performance	5
D.	Financial Plan	7
E.	Operations Plan	8
F.	Special Situations	10
G.	Out-of-State Providers	12
H.	Start-up Plan	13
I.	Additional Information	13
J.	Miscellaneous	14
K.	Technical Requirements	14
L.	Overall Recommendation	14

A. Executiv	e Summary			
School Name: The proposed school's name is identified.				
Rating:	Does Not Meet	Meets		
School Miss	ion: The proposed sch	nool's mission and visi	on are clearly	articulated and realistic.
Rating:	Does Not Meet	Partially Meets	Meets	Exceeds
-		pulation of the propos for, the target student		dentified. The applicant has provided a
Rating:	Does Not Meet	Partially Meets	Meets	Exceeds
Community Support: The applicant has provided evidence that there is sufficient need for the proposed school in the identified community. Applicant has provided evidence of community outreach activities that have resulted in support of the proposed school. Evidence can include completed intent to enroll forms, partnerships, business relationships, etc.				
Rating:	Does Not Meet	Partially Meets	Meets	Exceeds
0 0.		•	-	design elements and approach to escribed supports the stated mission and
Rating:	Does Not Meet	Partially Meets	Meets	☐ Exceeds
Location: Tl	ne proposed school's l	ocation is identified.		
Rating:	Does Not Meet	Meets		
Grades Serv	ved: The proposed gra	des served meet the n	eeds of the id	entified community.
Rating:	Does Not Meet	Partially Meets	Meets	☐ Exceeds
Planned Enrollment: The proposed minimum, planned, and maximum enrollment each year for the term of the charter contract is identified and reflect the proposed community.				
Rating:	Does Not Meet	Partially Meets	☐ Meets	Exceeds
Recommen	dation Narrative:			
Overall Sect	tion Rating	Does Not Meet 🔲 l	Partially Mee	ts Meets Exceeds

B. Board Governance and School Leadership			
Bylaws: The proposed governing bylaws, at minimum, address the board appointment/election process, board member criteria, board size, terms and term limits, board member duties and expectations, board meeting cadence, attendance and quorum requirements, procedures for board meetings and voting, board officers and their roles, board committees, board member removal, and board member succession plans.			
Rating: ☐ Does Not Meet ☐ Partially Meets ☐ Meets ☐ Exceeds			
Board Members : The board consists of a wide range of experienced members with the capacity to oversee a successful school and a commitment to do so. The applicant describes the nature and extent of parental, professional educator, and community involvement in the governance and operation of the proposed school.			
Rating: ☐ Does Not Meet ☐ Partially Meets ☐ Meets ☐ Exceeds			
Proposed Administration: The proposed school leader is an experienced school leader of student populations that are similar to those that the proposed community choice school will enroll.			
OR			
The applicant provides a sound and comprehensive process to recruit a qualified school leader, including criteria and timeline that will be used.			
Rating: ☐ Does Not Meet ☐ Partially Meets ☐ Meets ☐ Exceeds			
Proposed Administration: The proposed management team is experienced in operating schools (with similar student body makeup), and the applicant demonstrates the effectiveness of the operator in the following areas: academics, operations, and financial oversight.			
OR			
The applicant provides a sound and comprehensive process to recruit a qualified management team, including criteria to be used and a timeline.			
Rating: ☐ Does Not Meet ☐ Partially Meets ☐ Meets ☐ Exceeds			
Recommendation Narrative:			
Overall Section Rating Does Not Meet Partially Meets Meets Exceeds			

C. Academi	ic Program and Perfo	ormance		
the program	is effective in meeting	the needs of the targe	t population	nd includes research-based evidence that and is aligned with stated standards. For tionale for effectiveness.
Rating:	Does Not Meet	Partially Meets	Meets	Exceeds
		on identifies a standar des rationale for the c		ment to formally measure student sment.
Rating:	Does Not Meet	Partially Meets	Meets	Exceeds
research-bas	ed evidence that they cture are thoroughly e	are effective in meetin	g the needs o	al design and curriculum, and includes of the target population. The classroom uplained and supported with research-
Rating:	Does Not Meet	Partially Meets	Meets	Exceeds
reinforce the discipline po education stu	expectations. The applicies are appropriate	olicant includes discipl for all students and dis s approach to disciplir	ine policies t stinguish and	ior expectations and a plan to teach and that align with federal requirements. The d support any policy differences for special ment with the school's mission and is
Rating:	Does Not Meet	Partially Meets	Meets	Exceeds
assessment,		assessment plan, and		it plan beyond the annual standardized ins how it will evaluate student needs and
Rating:	Does Not Meet	Partially Meets	Meets	Exceeds
	-	= =		derstanding of legal requirements and has and supports for students with disabilities.
Rating:	Does Not Meet	Partially Meets	☐ Meets	Exceeds
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •		derstanding of legal requirements and has or students who are English Language
Rating:	Does Not Meet	Partially Meets	Meets	Exceeds
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	The applicant demons implement high-qual		derstanding of legal requirements and has or gifted students.
Rating:	Does Not Meet	Partially Meets	Meets	Exceeds

C. Academic Program and Performance (cont'd)				
Students with Special Needs (4): The applicant demonstrates an understanding of legal requirements and has dedicated appropriate resources to implement high-quality services for students who are academically challenged and/or performing below grade level.				
Rating: Does Not Meet Partially Meets Meets Exceeds				
Professional Development: The applicant provides a detailed professional development plan for the preoperational year and the first year of operation. The plan articulates how it will support staff and ensure the proposed program is implemented with fidelity.				
Rating: ☐ Does Not Meet ☐ Partially Meets ☐ Meets ☐ Exceeds				
Extracurricular Programs: The applicant describes cocurricular or extracurricular programs, or future plans to implement such programs, including funding and the proposed delivery of the programs.				
OR				
The applicant describes why the proposed choice school has chosen to not offer cocurricular or extracurricular programs.				
Rating: ☐ Does Not Meet ☐ Partially Meets ☐ Meets ☐ Exceeds				
Recommendation Narrative:				
Overall Section Rating Does Not Meet Partially Meets Meets Exceeds				

D. Financial Plan				
Financial Policies: The applicant's proposed financial plan demonstrates that the applicant has personnel and/or a management company with governmental accounting experience in place and the plan follows generally acceptable accounting practices. The financial controls described by applicant ensure that adequate auditing and reporting procedures are in place.				
Rating: ☐ Does Not Meet ☐ Partially Meets ☐ Meets ☐ Exceeds				
Insurance: The applicant has a clear understanding of insurance requirements for community choice schools under Montana Law and has a plan in place to obtain all required coverage from a reputable provider.				
Rating: ☐ Does Not Meet ☐ Partially Meets ☐ Meets ☐ Exceeds				
Budget: The applicant's preoperational/start-up budget for years 0 through 5 is based on realistic assumptions for all revenues and expenditures and does not count on uncommitted funds for a balanced budget.				
Rating: Does Not Meet Partially Meets Meets Exceeds				
Budget: The applicant's budget includes reasonable and adequate costs for all staff, services, supplies, equipment, and technology included in the application and/or essential to the school implementing the proposed model with fidelity.				
Rating: ☐ Does Not Meet ☐ Partially Meets ☐ Meets ☐ Exceeds				
Cash Flow Projections: The applicant's start-up and first year cash flow projections are based on realistic assumptions.				
Rating: ☐ Does Not Meet ☐ Partially Meets ☐ Meets ☐ Exceeds				
Fundraising: The applicant includes evidence of fundraising contributions (e.g. letters of commitment from individuals and organizations), if mentioned in the financial plan.				
Rating: Does Not Meet Meets				
Recommendation Narrative:				
Overall Section Rating Does Not Meet Partially Meets Meets Exceeds				

E. Operation	ns Plan			
	= =		-	of the plan for student recruitment and that meets all legal requirements.
Rating:	Does Not Meet	Partially Meets	Meets	Exceeds
				osed daily schedule that meets any es and key design elements.
Rating:	Does Not Meet	Partially Meets	Meets	Exceeds
compliance v	with statutory require		learly deline	and staffing plan demonstrate ates the roles and powers of the board, rganizations.
Rating:	Does Not Meet	☐ Partially Meets	Meets	Exceeds
	ract demonstrate a pri	• •	-	ne and staffing plan for the term of the ed school's identified education program
Rating:	Does Not Meet	Partially Meets	Meets	Exceeds
is based on e	-			ment and professional development plan I's mission, and is designed to help
Rating:	Does Not Meet	Partially Meets	Meets	Exceeds
		plicant describes a sch urages continuous im		ip and staff evaluation plan that aligns
Rating:	Does Not Meet	Partially Meets	Meets	Exceeds
involved at t	= =	nakes it clear that all st	=	nd expectations for parents to be ment is not dependent on parental
Rating:	Does Not Meet	Partially Meets	Meets	Exceeds
to begin and	maintain operations v	vith an anticipated tim	neline for exe	will be required for the proposed school cution of the contracts. The contractual finterest between the applicant and the
Rating:	Does Not Meet	Partially Meets	Meets	Exceeds

E. Operations Plan (cont'd)
Transportation : The applicant demonstrates that it has considered transportation needs of students and has developed an adequate policy and recommendations for parents to address those needs. The applicant describes the process for safe drop off and pick-up of students.
Rating: ☐ Does Not Meet ☐ Partially Meets ☐ Meets ☐ Exceeds
Food Service: The applicant demonstrates that it has considered the food service needs of students and has developed an adequate plan to address those needs. The applicant has a plan to meet the needs of students who meet free and reduced-price meal eligibility guidelines for both breakfast and lunch. Rating: Does Not Meet Partially Meets Exceeds
School Facility : The applicant demonstrates a thorough understanding of the facility needs for the proposed school and includes plan that includes back-up and contingency plans should the facility not meet particular deadlines. The applicant includes plans for construction, including a timeline and anticipated cost. The applicant understands any legal requirements for school facilities in Montana.
Rating: Does Not Meet Partially Meets Meets Exceeds
Recommendation Narrative:
Overall Section Rating Does Not Meet Partially Meets Meets Exceeds

F. Special Situations (if applicable)			
School Conversion:			
The applicant has included a petition of support.			
Rating: Does Not Meet Meets			
The applicant has included adequate evidence of past performance that is true and complete, and describes the school's current capacity for growth that is realistic and evidence-based.			
Rating: Does Not Meet Partially Meets Meets Exceeds			
If the proposed location will be within the geographical boundaries of a third-class elementary district or a third-class high school, the applicant provides the following evidence:			
 The third-class district has elected to establish a community choice school; The third-class district has elected to convert a grade or grades to a choice school from an existing school; The choice school is a tribal choice school; The choice school is a virtual community choice school; or The governing board of the choice school has received approval, by a majority vote, of a memorandum of understanding from the third-class school district's board of trustees. 			
Rating: Does Not Meet Meets			
Educational Service Providers:			
The proposed educational service provider has demonstrated success in serving student populations similar to the targeted population, including academic achievement and successful management of nonacademic school functions.			
Rating: Does Not Meet Partially Meets Meets Exceeds			
The applicant provides a proposed duration of the contract with the educational service provider.			
Rating: Does Not Meet Meets			
The applicant clearly defines the roles and responsibilities of the governing board, the school staff, and the educational service provider. The roles and responsibilities are appropriate under Montana state law, and will support the proposed school's educational model.			
Rating: Does Not Meet Partially Meets Meets Exceeds			
The applicant provides a detailed description of the services and resources to be provided by the educational service provider. The services to be provided are appropriate under Montana state law and will support the proposed school's educational model.			
Rating: Does Not Meet Partially Meets Meets Exceeds			
The applicant describes the method and timeline for evaluating the educational service provider.			
Rating: Does Not Meet Meets			

F. Special Situations (if applicablecont'd)			
The applicant describes the methods that will be used to oversee and enforce the contract with the proposed educational service provider.			
Rating: Does Not Meet Meets			
The applicant describes the investment disclosure and conditions for renewal and termination of the proposed educational service provider.			
Rating: Does Not Meet Meets			
The applicant includes disclosures and explanations of any existing real or potential conflicts of interest between the governing board and the proposed educational service provider, or any affiliated business entities.			
Rating: Does Not Meet Meets			
/irtual Schools:			
The applicant has provided a description of the proposed school's system of course credits and how the proposed system compares with Montana law.			
Rating: Does Not Meet Meets			
The applicant includes a description of how the proposed school will monitor and verify full-time student enrollment, student participation in a full course load, credit accrual, and course completion. The plan is complete and demonstrates that the applicant has a thorough understanding of the considerations and requirements in developing and operating a virtual school.			
Rating: Does Not Meet Partially Meets Meets Exceeds			
The applicant describes how the proposed school will monitor and verify student progress and performance in each course through regular, proctored assessments and submissions of coursework and parent-teacher conferences. The plan is complete and demonstrates that the applicant has a thorough understanding of the considerations and requirements in developing and operating a virtual school.			
Rating: Does Not Meet Partially Meets Meets Exceeds			
Recommendation Narrative:			
Diversion Rating (School Conversion) Does Not Meet Partially Meets Meets Exceeds			
Overall Section Rating (Ed Service Provider) Does Not Meet Partially Meets Exceeds			
Overall Section Rating (Virtual School) Does Not Meet Partially Meets Meets Exceeds			

G. Out-of-State Providers (if applicable)			
Applicant provides evidence of past performance of all schools currently in operation across the nation.			
Rating: Does Not Meet Meets			
The applicant identifies all schools that were closed or charters that were revoked or not renewed for any reason.			
Rating: Does Not Meet Meets			
The applicant describes the organization's current capacity for growth and plans for developing capacity to support the proposed school in Montana. The applicant's plan is well-reasoned and supported by evidence.			
Rating: Does Not Meet Partially Meets Meets Exceeds			
Recommendation Narrative:			
Overall Section Rating			

H. Start-up Plan			
The applicant has provided a clear and detailed start-up plan. The plan identifies specific tasks, includes timelines, and identified responsible individuals for each task. The plan is realistic and lends itself to a successful community choice school opening.			
Rating: Does Not Meet Partially Meets Exceeds			
Recommendation Narrative:			
Overall Section Rating Does Not Meet Partially Meets Meets Exceeds			
I. Additional Information (Optional) Pedagogical Approach:			
redagogical Approach:			
Rating: Information Submitted			
Indian Education for All:			
Rating: Information Submitted			
Board Training:			
Rating:			
Postsecondary Readiness:			
<u> </u>			
Rating: Information Submitted Mental Health/School Climate:			
Rating: Information Submitted			
Parent, Family, and Community Engagement:			
Rating: Information Submitted			
Facility:			
Rating: Information Submitted			
Recommendation Narrative:			

J. Miscellaneous			
Applicants showing a commitment to seek out and serve students at-risk of academic failure, academic			
disengagement, to advance Montana's commitment to the preservation of American Indian cultural integrity, or to eliminate the American Indian achievement gap will be considered with greatest eagerness			
	an acmevement gap win be consi	dered with greatest eagerness	
in the application process.			
Rating: Information Submitted			
Recommendation Narrative:			
Overall Section Rating			
K. Technical Requirements	Included and Complete	Not Included or Incomplete	
Applicant Information Form (Appendix A)			
Ducie sted Envellment Chart (Anneydiv D)			
Projected Enrollment Chart (Appendix B)			
Board Governance Information (Appendix C)			
board dovernance information (Appendix C)			
Board Member Identity Checks (Appendix D)			
board Member Identity directs (Appendix D)			
OVERALL RECOMMENDATION			
Application approval will only be granted to applicants that have demonstrated competence in each element of the			
approval criteria and are likely to open and operate a successful Community Choice School.			
Recommendation Approve to Interview Phase Deny Application			
Proposed Conditions (to be fulfilled before execution of the contract and based on identified weaknesses in the			
proposal)			